


Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/663,740	BATEMAN ET AL.	

All Participants:	Status of Application: _____
(1) <u>Rehana Perveen</u> .	(3) _____.
(2) <u>Mr. William N. Hughet</u> .	(4) _____.
Date of Interview: <u>23 March 2005</u>	Time: _____
Type of Interview:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____	
Part I.	
Rejection(s) discussed:	
N/A	
Claims discussed:	
37-58	
Prior art documents discussed:	
N/A	
Part II.	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:	
See <i>Continuation Sheet</i>	
Part III.	
<input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner notified the Attorney of record regarding a restriction requirement for the newly presented claims as attached. The examiner also indicated that claims relating to the original scope of the invention will be treated on the merits based on representation by original presentation..